

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/753,427	01/09/2004	Chin-Te Hu	MR1957-823	6743
4586	7590 11/05/2004		EXAM	INER
ROSENBERG, KLEIN & LEE 3458 ELLICOTT CENTER DRIVE-SUITE 101			LEGESSE, NINI F	
ELLICOTT CITY, MD 21043		TE IVI	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3711	

DATE MAILED: 11/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			J
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	9.
	10/753,427	HU ET AL.	ν
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Nini F. Legesse	3711	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover	sheet with the correspondence	address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, howe a reply within the statutory mini riod will apply and will expire S tatute. cause the application to	ver, may a reply be timely filed imum of thirty (30) days will be considered to SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ns communication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	8 September 2004.		
,	This action is non-fina	ıl.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allo			the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1	935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
 4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) 3,5-8 and 11-17 is 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,2,4 and 9 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 10 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction are 	s/are withdrawn from		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exan 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to	accepted or b) cobjute of the drawing (s) be held	in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the continuous the continuous The oath or declaration is objected to by the			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum application from the International Bu	nents have been rece nents have been rece priority documents ha reau (PCT Rule 17.2	ived. ived in Application No ive been received in this Natio (a)).	nal Stage
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified co	pies not received.	
Attachment(s)	🖵		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948))	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date	, 3/08) 5) 🔲	Notice of Informal Patent Application (Other:	(PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/753,427

Art Unit: 3711

À

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's election without traverse of Species I directed to Fig. 1 in the reply filed on 09/08/04 is acknowledged. Applicant has indicated that claims 1, 2, 4, 9, and 10 read on Species I. Claims 3, 5-8, and 11-17 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 4, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Halliburton (US Patent No. 5,401,030).

With respect to claims 1 and 4, Halliburton discloses a golf practice device comprising:

- A visible illuminant (column 2 line 15 states the use of a light-emitting diode)
 exposed outside a surface of the head with an upward angle (see Fig. 3); and
- A battery (13', 13") for providing electrical power to the illuminant; and
- A centrifugal switch (column 1, line 60) actuated by centrifugal force.

Application/Control Number: 10/753,427

Art Unit: 3711

ò

Halliburton discloses the invention as recited in claim 1 but fails to teach wherein the switch is mounted on the surface of the club head opposite the striking face. Refereeing to Figs. 3-4 of the Halliburton's reference, we can see that the switch elements (29 and 31) and batteries (13' and 13") that are contained in device 6 are located towards the back of the striking face (column 2, lines 12-14 also state that the light emitter is disposed at the back side of the head in alignment with the sweet spot). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the Halliburton's device by locating the switch elements and the battery components on the surface of the head opposite the striking face in order to provide a device that is compact.

With respect to claim 2, Halliburton discloses an illuminant that is a LED (see column 4, line 50).

With respect to claim 9, Halliburton discloses wherein the centrifugal switch has an elastic control pole (moving contact 29 is considered an elastic control pole) and a fixed pole (fixed contact 31), wherein the control pole is movable along a direction of centrifugal force during swinging the golf club to contact with the fixed pole (refer to column 4 lines 28-39).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 10 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 3711

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nini F. Legesse whose telephone number is (703) 605-1233. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30 AM - 6:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vidovich Greg can be reached on (703) 308-1513. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mini F. Legesse

10/19/04